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ABSTRACT: A low-cost personal computer and image processing/mapping software package were employed to derive terrain elevations from Shuttle Imaging Radar-B (SIR-B) stereo image data in digital formats, and to create three-dimensional (3D) perspective views of the rugged Mt. Shasta area in northern California. Elevation errors of less than ±65 m were achieved using automatic stereocorrelation techniques and a linear DEM calibration approach. It is anticipated that future radargrammetric mapping and terrain visualization studies can be conducted using digital stereo radar images in a PC-based workstation environment. This will greatly facilitate utilization of radar images for studies of the perennial cloud-covered areas of the world.

INTRODUCTION

SYNTHETIC APERTURE RADAR (SAR) images have proved useful for a variety of landform and land-use analysis tasks. They also have been employed to derive terrain elevations (Ford et al., 1986; Leberl et al., 1986a). However, the computation of Z-coordinates from stereo SAR data in digital formats is a difficult task, even with large, powerful computers (Ramapriyan et al., 1986). Factors that influence the derivation of terrain elevations include inherent noise, a relatively narrow range of useful intensity values, comparatively poor spatial resolution, layover caused by terrain relief, and variable geometric characteristics introduced during acquisition. These problems are well-known and were encountered in the present study of Shuttle Imaging Radar-B (SIR-B) data of Mt. Shasta in northern California (Figure 1).

Previous mapping studies have been conducted with SIR-B data of Mt. Shasta, including those by Leberl et al. (1986b) and Simard et al. (1986), both of whom employed stereo-pairs recorded at incidence angles of 29 and 53 degrees. The former study utilized image processing techniques to generate optimized film transparencies from the digital SIR-B data. These film images were then inserted in an analytical stereoplottor controlled by specially tailored software to produce spot heights and a contour plot at an interval of 200 m. The root-mean-square error (RMSE) of spot heights ranged from ±53 m to ±178 m. Simard et al. (1986), on the other hand, employed automated stereocorrelation techniques to generate elevations and orthoimages from the digital SIR-B stereo images. However, correlation success was limited to about 33 percent, and comparisons with map data revealed the stereocorrelation produced a root-mean-square difference of about ±100 m between map and image-derived Z-coordinate values. While the above studies document the possibilities for producing topographic information from the stereo SIR-B data, and for generating maps, orthoimages, and 3D displays, they also indicate the problems of working with SAR images in digital formats.

The objective of this study is to expand the previous work by demonstrating the heretofore unrealized possibilities for generating topographic information from digital SAR images using automated stereocorrelation and terrain visualization techniques with personal computers (Welch and Papacharalampous, 1990). At the time this study was conducted, automatic stereocorrelation of digital SAR data had not been demonstrated on small computers. Furthermore, Earth scientists seldom have access to details about SAR sensor geometry that will be of use in terrain mapping. Consequently, an effort was made to develop correlation techniques in combination with a simplified computational approach for deriving terrain elevations that can be used by geomorphologists, geologists, and map makers.

A software package, the Desktop Mapping System (DMS™), developed to create planimetric, topographic, and thematic map products from digital images has been employed for this purpose (Welch, 1989). The DMS software is designed to permit low-cost, effective image processing and 3D terrain mapping on IBM compatible personal computers (Welch, 1990). It provides a rigorous 3D mapping capability when employed with SPOT stereo images, and is well suited for use with image data recorded by a variety of sensor systems.

All data processing in this study was undertaken on a Dell 325 personal computer equipped with Intel 80386 and 80387 microprocessors operating at 25 MHz. The memory system included 4 Mbytes of RAM and a 320 Mbyte hard disk. An IBM 8514/A compatible display adapter and monitor provided 256 colors at 1024-pixel by 768-line resolution. The DMS software package running under MS-DOS 4.0 incorporated all necessary routines for rectification, registration, stereocorrelation, and three-dimensional display.

Fig. 1. Study area is centered on Mt. Shasta in northern California.
STUDY AREA AND STEREO SIR-B DATA SETS

The study area is centered on Mt. Shasta, California (41° 25' N latitude and 122° 10' W longitude) and covers approximately 700 km². Elevations range from about 800 m to more than 4300 m above sea level.

Available data sets included three images with 25-m pixel resolution recorded at incidence angles of 29.7, 53.8, and 63.8 degrees during the SIR-B mission of October 1984 (Cimino et al., 1988), and two US Geological Survey 1:62,500 scale topographic maps. As in the previous studies by Leberl et al. (1986b) and Simard et al. (1986), the images with incidence angles of 29.7 (left) and 53.8 (right) degrees were selected for analysis. These images provide the most complete coverage of Mt. Shasta and exhibit the best visual stereo perception of relief (Figure 2).

DEM GENERATION AND DISPLAY

The steps required to derive a regular grid of terrain elevations from digital satellite image data by automated stereocorrelation techniques were previously described by Ehlers and Welch (1987). These have been modified to accommodate the SIR-B digital images. This modified approach includes preprocessing, image registration/rectification, automatic stereocorrelation, DEM calibration, and display and output product generation as shown in Figure 3. Each of these steps is described below.

PREPROCESSING

A series of initial tests with the rather noisy SIR-B data sets revealed that a pixel resolution of 25 m was too fine to permit reliable correlation over an area having relief in excess of 3500 m. To minimize noise, 2 by 2 blocks of pixels were aggregated to create images of 50-m resolution which in turn were smoothed with a 3 by 3 average filter. Finally, the images were rotated 90 degrees to ensure that parallaxes were aligned in the x-direction.

IMAGE REGISTRATION

Stereocorrelation techniques assume that any differences between the left and right images are caused by relief displacement. Therefore, it was necessary to register the right image to the left (reference) image using well-distributed image control points common to both images and lying at approximately the same elevation. Although a minimum of three points are required for registration, a total of six image control points with an average elevation of 1085 m were selected for this study. The x, y image coordinates of these points were measured to subpixel accuracy, and coefficients were computed to transform right image space coordinates to left image space using a least-squares polynomial solution. The RMSE of the registration was about ±32 m (±0.65 pixels). By registering the images to common points at an elevation that establishes a local vertical da-

Fig. 2. Stereo SIR-B images of Mt. Shasta. Note the shading and relief displacement, both of which can hinder stereo perception and correlation.
of the image content and the signal-to-noise ratio of the stereopair. Its size may vary from one stereopair to another.

In this study, experiments were conducted with correlation windows ranging from 3 by 3 to 17 by 17 pixels. Optimum results were obtained with a 13 by 13 correlation window. A relative DEM of $\Delta p$ values (in pixel units) at an interval of 100 m (2 pixels) was calculated in less than 75 minutes with 76 percent of the points correlated successfully. Outliers in the $\Delta p$ values such as spikes were corrected using a modified median filter with appropriate thresholds. Finally, this relative DEM was smoothed with a 3 by 3 average filter to reduce high frequency noise. Due to extreme relief and distortions, the top of Mt. Shasta (above 2500 m) was not correlated.

**DEM CALIBRATION**

It was necessary to transform the $\Delta p$ values to a true DEM of $Z$-values in ground units (metres). Before undertaking this transformation, however, relief displacements were removed from the left image of the stereopair by shifting each pixel by the $\Delta p$ component for that location. Although only three ground control points (GCPs) are needed, eight GCPs were employed to establish rectification coefficients required to fit the "relief corrected" image to the map. These coefficients also allow the planimetric (map) coordinates to be instantly determined for any relative DEM location, thus enabling accuracy evaluations (as described below) to be conducted once the $\Delta p$ values have been transformed to ground elevations.

Conversion of the $\Delta p$ values to yield a true DEM in ground units was accomplished using a calibration technique that involved constructing a terrain profile from 13 map points progressively increasing in elevation from 1200 m to 2000 m. By calculating a linear fit of the $\Delta p$ values to the map elevations, the following equation was derived to transform $\Delta p$ values to absolute ground elevations:

$$Z_i = 1075.2 + 50.3 \cdot \Delta p_i$$

which may be generalized to

$$Z = a + b \cdot \Delta p$$

where

- $Z$ = the absolute ground elevation for a DEM cell at point $i$,
- $a$ = ground elevation for the approximate vertical reference datum as established by the image control points selected to register the stereopair,
- $b$ = scaling coefficient approximating the profile's slope,
- $\Delta p$ = difference in $x$-parallax in pixels at point $i$.

It must be noted that only two points at representative minimum and maximum elevations for the topographic data set are needed to estimate the above coefficients. Of course, three or more points will increase the reliability of the transformation parameters. A direct comparison of map and image derived $Z$-coordinates for the terrain profile on which the calibration was performed is shown in Table 4.
based produced a root-mean-square difference of $\pm 32$ m. Application of the coefficients to other profiles (for which the terrain elevations were known) yielded root-mean-square differences in elevation of about $\pm 40$ m for areas of moderate relief, and $\pm 65$ m for areas of high relief (Figure 5). Variations in the differences between map- and image-derived values are to be expected, because the geometric parameters change with relief and the use of a linear equation to calculate Z-coordinates represents an approximate solution. Nevertheless, these techniques appear to yield elevation values of comparable accuracy to those determined by more rigorous methods. Leberl et al. (1986b), for example, list errors of $\pm 53$ m to $\pm 178$ m and Simard et al. (1986) obtained errors of $\pm 100$ m. Most importantly, they can be easily implemented by geologists, geographers, foresters, and other scientists wishing to develop a DEM from digital stereo radar data.

DEM DISPLAY AND OUTPUT PRODUCTS

Once a DEM has been established, it is possible to generate a perspective grid and to drape the SIR-B image values to create a realistic terrain visualization model (Figures 6 and 7). With the DMS software, viewing parameters such as azimuth, tilt, vertical exaggeration, and scale can be modified interactively as required. If desired, color-coded elevation, vector, and thematic geographic information system (GIS) files can be registered to the perspective. Because the left image has been corrected for relief displacement, a coordinate grid can be superimposed to produce an orthomosaic map. These corrections also allow the radar data to be merged with Landsat or SPOT digital images using intensity-lue-saturation (IFS) techniques to create enhanced multispectral, multisensor data sets (Welch and Ehlers, 1988).

CONCLUSION

Terrain elevations can be determined from stereo digital radar image data sets using low-cost personal computers and image processing/mapping software such as the DMS which incorporates automatic stereocorrelation capabilities. Although the procedures used in this study for deriving absolute terrain elevations make no attempt to model sensor geometry, the accuracies of the Z-coordinates compare favorably with results produced in previous studies by more rigorous methods. More importantly, however, it has been demonstrated that stereo radar image data sets can be employed by scientists equipped with personal computers to derive terrain elevations by automated techniques and
to create orthoimage maps, 3D perspectives, and enhanced multisensor solutions, multisensor image products. Such capabilities lend themselves to a variety of mapping and GIS applications in the remote, poorly mapped areas of the world or in regions where cloud cover prevents obtaining photographic or electro-optical images of good quality.
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